



**U.S. Patent &
Trademark Office
EIC 2800 CP4-9C18
Fax # 703-308-6097**

Fax

To: Lynne Anderson

From: Lydia De Jesús

Fax: (202) 638-4810

Pages: 2

Phone: (202) 775-5763

Date: Jan 23, 2002

Re: Client Matter # 10729209003 CC:

☐ Urgent ☒ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

● Comments:

Ms. Anderson,
Enclosed is the requested copy of the Interview Summary which will be placed of record in the Application file for SN 09/309,264. I have left a copy of the proposed amendments with my supervisor, accompanied by your contact information. Hope you have a very nice day!

Lydia M. De Jesús
Assistant Pat Exmr.
Art Unit 2859

Interview Summary

Applicant(s)

09/309,264

YODA ET AL.

Examiner

Lydia M. De Jesus

Art Unit

2859

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lydia M. De Jesus.

(3) _____.

(2) Lynne Anderson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 5 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yoshida.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner clarified interpretation of the claim language in the rejections based on Yoshida as requested by Applicant. Applicant proposed amendments to claims 1, 5 and 12 in response to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in the last Office Action. Applicant's remarks will follow.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required